IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:16-CV-27-D

ALICE M. NORFLEET,)
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,)
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)
)
Defendant.)

On December 27, 2016, Magistrate Judge Gates issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 17] and recommended that plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 12] be granted, that defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 14] be denied, and that the action be remanded to the Commissioner. Neither party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (alteration, emphasis, and quotation omitted). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 17]. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 12] is GRANTED, defendant's

motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 14] is DENIED, and the action is REMANDED to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

SO ORDERED. This <u>12</u> day of January 2017.

AMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge